

Putnam County

FY 2012 Law Enforcement and Corrections Expenditures

2012 Profile Data



Total Population		# Unincorporated Population		% Unincorporated Population	
Putnam County	73,158	Putnam County	58,453	Putnam County	80.00%
Statewide Average	284,693	Statewide Average	141,358	Statewide Average	67.75%
Statewide Median	100,198	Statewide Median	58,453	Statewide Median	77.00%

FY 2012 Total Expenses (Net Transfers)		# Incorporated Population		% Incorporated Population	
Putnam County	\$ 85,627,655	Putnam County	14,705	Putnam County	20.00%
Statewide Average	508,348,452	Statewide Average	143,335	Statewide Average	32.25%
Statewide Median	166,941,632	Statewide Median	17,394	Statewide Median	23.00%

FY 2012 L.E. & C. Expenditure Data

Total L.E. & C Expenses		Total L.E. & C Expenses Per Capita		L.E. & C Expenses as a % of Total Expenses	
Putnam County	\$ 18,198,172	Putnam County	\$ 248.75	Putnam County	21.25%
Rank	40	Rank	35	Rank	31
Quartile Rank	3rd 25% (Bottom Middle)	Quartile Rank	3rd 25% (Bottom Middle)	Quartile Rank	2nd 25% (Top Middle)
Statewide Average	83,083,734	Statewide Average	287.38	Statewide Average	21.64%
Statewide Median	30,719,377	Statewide Median	255.08	Statewide Median	20.80%
Minimum	1,438,331	Minimum	114.05	Minimum	7.22%
Maximum	832,642,158	Maximum	1,116.83	Maximum	55.27%
90th Percentile (Top 10% Floor)	218,132,008	90th Percentile (Top 10% Floor)	393.79	90th Percentile (Top 10% Floor)	26.14%

L.E. & C. Expense Distribution



Notes:

- [1\) Total Population data provided by the Office of Economic and Demographic Research - Florida Population Estimates for Counties - Countywide, Unincorporated, and Incorporated Totals: 1972-2013 \[xls\]](#)
- [2\) Total Expenditure \(net Transfers\) data, as well as, Law Enforcement and Corrections data provided by the Florida CFO's Office - Government Accounting Office - Local Government Reporting Section via the FY 2012 Annual Financial Reports.](#)
- 3) Sub-Total amounts for Law Enforcement expenditures or Corrections expenditures, as well as the distribution of these reported expenditures for some counties may be skewed due to internal reporting and/or accounting practices.