



**Gulf Consortium Committee of 15 Agenda
June 28, 2013 12:00 noon-1:30 pm (EDT)**

Tampa Marriott Waterside Hotel
700 South Florida Ave
Tampa, FL 33602

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Open Floor for Nominations for Committee Chair
4. Open Floor for Nominations for Committee Vice
5. Discussion of Proposed Methodology for Computing Allocations for the 15

Nondisproportionately Impacted Counties

6. Public Comment
7. Adjourn

Gulf Consortium
Committee of 15 Nondisproportionately Impacted Counties
June 28, 2013
Agenda Item # 5 Proposed Methodology for Computing Allocations

Executive Summary: At the last Consortium meeting, the Gulf Consortium authorized a Committee of 15 Nondisproportionately Impacted Counties to be formed for the express purpose of recommending to the US Treasury a methodology for computing the State Allocation and Expenditures (Pot #1) to the 15 counties.

Background: The RESTORE Act includes a formula for computing allocations to the 15 Nondisproportionately Impacted Counties but does not specify the methodology or sources for computing. In conversations with the US Treasury, an opportunity to provide recommendations for both the methodology and sources was requested.

- 1) The recommended sources of data are:
 - a) "34% Based on Weighted Average of the Population of the County" – 2010 Census
<http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12000.html>
 - b) "33% Based on Weighted Average of County Per Capita Sales Tax Collections Estimated for FY 2012"
<http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/local-government/reports/lqfih12.pdf>
Starting on Page 152 of report, use "Countywide Total" number
 - c) "33% Based on Inverse Proportion of the Weighted Average Distance from the Deepwater Horizon oil rig to each of the Nearest and Farthest points of the Shoreline"
<http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/maps-and-spatial-data/environmental-response-management-application-erma/erma-gulf-response.html>
- 2) The recommended methodologies are:
 - a) Take total population of all 15 counties and divide by each county population equaling a weighted average percentage
 - b) Take sum of all Per Capita Sales Tax Collections for Calendar Year 2012 and divide by individual county Per Capita Sales Tax equaling a weighted average percentage
 - c)
 - a. Average the nearest and farthest point in each county to determine the County Mean Distance (CMD).
 - b. Average the nearest and farthest point of the Region to determine the Regional Mean Distance (RMD)
 - c. Calculate the inverse proportion (IP) of the CMD of each County to the RMD (Formula: RMD/CMD)
 - d. Equals each County's share (expressed as a percentage) of the inverse proportion (Formula: CMD IP/SUM of IP)
- 3) Final percentage for each county is computed as the Sum of (2a X 0.34+2b X 0.33+2c.d. X 0.33)

Analysis: The attached spreadsheet shows the results of using the above sources and methodology.

Options:

- 1) Approve a motion to use proposed methodology and sources and forward to the US Treasury for consideration or;
- 2) Provide other direction.

Fiscal Impact: None, at this time.

Recommendation:

Approve a motion to direct staff to forward the proposed methodology and sources and forward to the US Treasury for consideration.

Prepared by: Doug Darling, Interim Manager

Moved _____; Seconded _____.

Action: Approved ____; Approved as amended ____; Defeated _____.

County	Population 2010 Census	Proportionate Share	Sales Tax Per Capita	Proportionate Share	Distance to DWH	Proportionate Share	Inverse Proportion	Estimated Allocation
Charlotte	159,978	3.27%	127.40	6.45%	698,666	7.4%	5.85%	5.17%
Citrus	141,236	2.89%	85.90	4.35%	590,799	6.3%	6.92%	4.70%
Collier	321,520	6.57%	183.07	9.27%	775,680	8.3%	5.27%	7.03%
Dixie	16,422	0.34%	48.47	2.45%	525,021	5.6%	7.78%	3.49%
Hernando	172,778	3.53%	90.93	4.60%	592,839	6.3%	6.89%	4.99%
Hillsborough	1,229,226	25.11%	156.36	7.92%	610,369	6.5%	6.69%	13.36%
Jefferson	14,761	0.30%	52.62	2.66%	472,097	5.0%	8.66%	3.84%
Lee	618,754	12.64%	156.12	7.91%	715,632	7.6%	5.71%	8.79%
Levy	40,801	0.83%	74.52	3.77%	568,273	6.0%	7.19%	3.90%
Manatee	322,833	6.60%	144.26	7.30%	622,336	6.6%	6.57%	6.82%
Monroe	73,090	1.49%	378.34	19.16%	913,479	9.7%	4.47%	8.31%
Pasco	464,697	9.49%	95.31	4.83%	593,404	6.3%	6.89%	7.09%
Pinellas	916,542	18.73%	142.00	7.19%	590,602	6.3%	6.92%	11.02%
Sarasota	379,448	7.75%	149.56	7.57%	634,421	6.8%	6.44%	7.26%
Taylor	22,570	0.46%	90.00	4.56%	494,401	5.3%	8.26%	4.39%
	4,894,656	100%	\$ 1,974.86	100%	9,398,019	100%	101%	100%